

ADVANCED LIGHTBAND

User's Manual | 2003336C

spacesystems@rocketlabusa.com rocketlabusa.com





Table of Contents

4.	ALB DES	SCRIPTION	.7
4.1	Part I	Marking	.7
4.2		NANCE ON DIMENSIONS	
4.3	MECH	ANICAL DESCRIPTION AND INTERFACE	.7
4.4		RICAL INTERFACE	
4.5		SSIBILITY AND STAY-OUT ZONES	
4.6		RIALS AND SURFACE TREATMENTS	
4.7		NESS	
4.8		NESS OF ADJOINING STRUCTURES	
4.9			
4.1		FIGUE LIMITS & MASS-LOADED VIBRATION	
4.1 4.1		ITNESS AND PARALLELISM	
4.1			
4.1		TATION RATES	
4.1		PARATION VALUES	
		AL AND HORIZONTAL INTEGRATION TO ADJOINING VEHICLES	
6.	RELIABI	LITY, FAILURE MODES AND ANOMALY REPORTING	26
6.1	EAULIE	RE MODES, EFFECTS AND PREVENTATIVE ACTIONS	26
7.	TESTING	3	27
7 1	TEAT	Summary	7
7.1			
7.2 7.3		VERIFICATION	
7.3		INENT RANDOM VIBRATION	
7.4		< (CUSTOM TEST)	
7.6		RATION TESTING (CUSTOM TEST)	
7.7		IGTH (CUSTOM TEST)	
7.8		UE (CUSTOM TEST)	
8.	SPECIFY	YING AN ALB	31
0.1	Deter	RMINE STIFFNESS REQUIREMENTS	24
8.1		MINE STIFFNESS REQUIREMENTS	
8.2 8.3		TALB BOLT CIRCLE DIAMETER	
0.3 8.4		LETE VIRTUAL FIT CHECK AND PLAN LOGISTICS	
8.5		RATION SWITCH QUANTITY (SW)	
8.6		RATION SWITCH QUANTITY (SW)	
8.7		Ise (FLT or EDU)	
8.8		ATION SPRINGS	
8.9		FYING ALB	
9.	PURCHA	ASING, DELIVERABLES, & SCHEDULE	32
0.1	Dupci	HASING AN ALB	20
9.1 9.2		HASING AN ALD	
9.2		ARD DELIVER I SCHEDOLE	
9.4			
9.5		INTE ELEMENT MODELS	
9.6		DM UNITS	
9.7		SSORIES	
		Separation Connectors.	
		Separation Switches	
		Separation Springs	
10.		JFACTURING PROCESS	
11.		TESTING AND PROCEDURES PERFORMED BY CUSTOMER	
12.		JND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (GSE)	
13.	WAR	RANTY AND GLOSSARY	36
13.	1 WA	RRANTY	36
13.		DSSARY	
14.	APPE	NDIX A: ALB ACCESSORIES	37
1.	4.1.1	ALB Separation Connector	37
		ALB Separation Switch	
		Separation Springs	
		Wiring Separation Switch and Separation Connector	

1. Revision History

Rev.	Issued	Written By	Released By	Change Description
- & A	See previous r	evisions		
В	07Jun22	ML	CF	 Updated cover page with updated logo and graphic Typo fixes and grammatical changes throughout document Table 3-1: updated dim E stay out zone and latch up distance range, updated wording and symbol Fws for clarity. <i>Were</i> Figure 4-25, 4-26 clarified stow screw torque at 'ping' for ALB24, increased first peak torque to 22 in lb Section 8.2: added details PSC will request from customer to ensure strength requirement compliance Section 8.8: reduced maximum number of springs for standard ALB to n/4 Section 9.1: updated payment milestones Section 9.2: updated number of springs for custom Lightband <i>Was</i> Section 14: updated checklist typos Table 14-3: updated wording and symbol Fws for clarity.
С	24Feb23	MH/Nirja Dave	CMF	 Relocated Revision History to Section 1 Removed Operation and Integration Section from procedure and creating two new documents (PSC-RL document 3000355 ALB Operating Procedure and PSC-RL document 3000349 ALB Pack-Unpack Procedure) Updated PSC references to PSC-RL Updated PSC references from Table 2-1 to Table 3-1 Table 3-1Added row for default quantity for separation springs and updated storage Section 4.9: Additional line loading guidance Added Section 4.10 Fatigue Limits & Mass-Loaded Vibration Updated Section 4.13 Lifecycle Section 9.6: Updated language to reflect certain ALB sizes have default spring quantity of 4 Updated Section 13.1 Warranty Section 14: Updated attachment references to PSC-RL document 3000355

2. Introduction

The Advanced Lightband (ALB) is a space vehicle separation system. It separates space vehicles from launch vehicles.




Figure 2-1: The ALB is offered in a range of sizes from 8.0 to 24.0-inch bolt circle diameter

The ALB is an advancement based on decades of work by Planetary Systems Corp by Rocket Lab (PSC-RL) manufacturing hundreds of separation systems for commercial, government, private and university customers, in LEO, GEO and interplanetary orbits. The ALB is a patented, Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS) technology. It is made and verified with materials and methods consistent with high-reliability space flight hardware.

Features and benefits

- 1. Easy to use Integrate in less than 30 minutes, training is simple, only two wires to initiate, looser flatness requirements
- 2. Reliable Thousands of separation tests in development and qualification environments prove reliability.
- 3. Stiffer and stronger A 30% increase in stiffness allows higher frequency 1st modes and reduces deflection.
- 4. **All-inclusive product** The ALB can accommodate integrated Separation Springs, Switches, and Connectors; and does not require additional brackets or complex interface documentation. This reduces complexity, increasing reliability and decreasing mission cost.
- 5. No consumables, non-pyrotechnic Motor-driven eliminating need for consumable initiators.
- 6. Minimal reset time Separation tests can be repeated in minutes.
- 7. Lightweight The ALB is one third of the weight of a typical clamp band.
- 8. Low-height About one half of the height of a typical clamp band.
- 9. Precise initiation Critical separation timing of satellite swarms is enabled.
- 10. **Low-shock** Ideal for sensitive payloads.
- 11. Low tip-off Special testing can tune rotation rates to less than 1 deg/s
- 12. Higher Separation Velocity with increased precision. Special testing can tune delta V within 1.0 in/s. and larger springs produce more separating velocity.
- 13. Backwards compatible and pyro-pulse compatible Accepts same connector and electrical pulse as MLB.



Figure 2-2: An ALB attached to Starshine-4 during a pre-flight vibration test



3. Parameters

		Parameter	Sym. Value			Tol.						
Size		Bolt Circle Diameter [in]	D	8.000	11.732	13.000	15.000	18.250	19.848	23.250	24.000	± 0.01
SIZE		Number of Fasteners	n A	12	18	20	24	28	28	32	36	
	Stay-Out Dimensions [in]			10.857	14.684	15.970	18.002	21.265	22.853	26.268	27.039	min
Dimensions				5.410	9.142	10.410	12.410	15.660	17.258	20.660	21.410	max
				0.239	2.130	2.766	3.770	5.383 00	6.123	7.780	8.151	max ± 0.01
			Н	.475 to	.475 to	.475 to	.475 to	.075 to	.075 to	.075 to	.075 to	± 0.01
		Latch-up distance [in]	ds	090	090	090	090	090	135	165	175	
		Upper Assembly		1.24	1.78	1.96	2.20	2.71	3.00	3.52	3.55	
	Mass [lb _m]	Low er Assembly		3.24 4.27 4.61 5.25 6.00		6.00	6.14	6.91	7.44	± 5%		
		Total		4.48	6.05	6.57 7.45 8.71 9.14			10.43	10.99		
		X _{LB}		1.03	1.04	1.05	1.04	1.05	1.07	1.07	1.06	
		Y _{LB}		0.58	0.85	0.92	1.00	1.12	1.19	1.28	1.26	
		Z _{LB} X _{LB} , Upper Assembly		0.11	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	
	Center of	Y _{LB} , Upper Assembly		0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	± 0.1
Mass	Mass [in]	Z _{LB} , Upper Assembly		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Properties		X _{LB} , Low er Assembly		0.82	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.80	1
(Does not		Y _{LB} , Low er Assembly		0.80	1.20	1.31	1.41	1.62	1.77	1.93	1.86	
include		Z _{LB} , Low er Assembly		0.15	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	
accessories)		kx		58	184	250	386	682	852	1,350	1,522	
	Inertia	ky		29 33	87 102	117	179	316	393	624	705	
	[lb _m ·in ²]	l _{zz} I _{xx} , Upper Assembly		22	66	138 89	212 133	373 238	466 311	735 497	826 533	
	(Measured	l _{YY} , Upper Assembly		11	33	45	67	120	156	249	267	± 10%
	about CM in	I _{zz} , Upper Assembly		11	33	45	67	120	156	249	267	
	stow ed state)	I _{xx} , Low er Assembly		35	116	158	250	438	534	844	980	1
	ĺ	I _{YY} , Low er Assembly		17	53	71	112	195	236	374	437	
		I _{ZZ} , Low er Assembly		20	66	90	142	248	302	476	549	
	Operating limit	X _{LB} (Axial) [lb _f /bolt]	Peq					720				
	Line Loads	Y _{LB} or Z _{LB} (Shear) [lb _f /bolt]	Q	2.34E+6	0.405.0	0.045.0	64	-	E DAELO	0.005.0	7.005.0	
Loading &		Stiffness about X _{LB} [lb _f /in] Stiffness about Y _{LB} or Z _{LB} [in·lb _f /rad]		2.34E+6 1.82E+7	3.43E+6 5.76E+7	3.81E+6 7.83E+7	4.39E+6 1.20E+8	5.34E+6 2.17E+8		6.80E+6 4.47E+8	7.02E+6 4.93E+8	± 25%
Boundaries	Required flatne	ess of adjoining structure if adjoining structure is										
		"flexible" [in] (see table 3-4)		0.004	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.011	0.013	0.013	max
	Required flatne	ess of adjoining structure if adjoining structure is		0.003	0.005	0.006	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.010	0.010	max
		x.xxx "stiff" [in] (see table 3-4)		0.003	0.005	0.000			0.000	0.010	0.010	Пал
		Voltage, (Pin 1-4) [V]	V	24 to 32								
		Motor terminal resistance [Ohm] Motor terminal inductance [mH]	Rw	5.9 to 11.4 0.746								
		Peak current [A]	Hm Ip	5.4								
	·	Minimum supplied current to the ALB [A]	Imin					.3				
Electrical		Time to apply pow er [s]	Тр					to 1				
Initiation		Time to Initiate [s]	Ti					o 0.035				
		Time to spin dow n [s]	Tsd		>0.3							
		Free running current, maximum [A]	lfrmax	<0.2 0.02								
	·	No Fire Current [A] Max resistance, through Lightband [Ohm]						.01				
		Thermal resistance, X direction [°C/W]		0.392 0.267 0.241 0.209 0.172 0.158 0.135 0.130						0.130		
Thermal-		Survival Temperature[°C]	Ts					+110	,			
vacuum		Operating Temperature[°C]	То					to 90				
		Pressure [Torr]		<10-4								
		Spring Radius [in]	Rs	4.788	6.701	7.344	8.360	9.992 45	10.786	12.493	12.878	± 0.005
		Energy per spring [J] Spring Constant [lbf/in]	e Ks									
		Force per spring, stow ed [lbf]	Fss		<u>5.85</u> 19.9							
	Separation	Force per spring, deployed [lbf]	Fsd	-								
	Springs			1.890						± 0.025		
		Weight Supported per Spring when stowing [lb]	Fws).0				max
		Spring assembly + fastener [lbm]		0		<u> </u>	0.0					
		Standard Qty. of Separation Springs [-] Maximum Qty. of Separation Springs [-]	Smax	3 6	3 9	4 10	3 12	4 14	4 14	4 16	3 18	
		X	OTTEX	0.0003	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	<u> </u>
	Max rotational	Y		0.0002	0.0010	0.0011	0.0011	0.0024	0.0024	0.0024	0.0024	
	energy [J]	Z		0.0092	0.0008	0.0028	0.0028	0.0061	0.0061	0.0061	0.0061	
		Connector and Switch Radius [in]	Rc	4.724	6.637	7.280	8.296	9.928	10.722	12.429	12.814	
		Max. sum of Sep. Connector and Switch Qty. [-]		5	8	9	11	13	13	15	17	
Accessories		Energy per separation connector [J]	Kec				0.0					
		Spring Constant [lbf/in] Force per connector, stow ed [lbf]	Kc Fsc				5	1				
		Force per connector, stow ed [ibf] Force per connector, deployed [ibf]	Fsc Fdc					.1				
	Separation	Stroke [in]	dsc				0.1	-				<u> </u>
	Connector	Current, each pin, steady state, vacuum [A]	lscv					00				
		Current, each pin, steady state, STP [A]	lsca					00				
		Pulsed current through pin [A]	lpv					00				
		Mated pin-pair contact resistance [Ohm]	Rsc				0.					± 0.02
		Mated pair mass + fasteners [lbm]	Kes)99 02				± 0.02
		Energy per separation switch [J] Spring Constant [lbf/in]	Kes Ks					4.0				
		Force per switch, stow ed [lbf]	Fsw				3					
	Separation	Force per switch, deployed [lbf]	Fds					.9				
	Sw itch	Stroke [in]	dsw				0.					± 0.05
		Current, steady state, vacuum [A]	lscv					.0				
		Contact resistance [Ohm]	Rsw					025				
		Mass + fasteners [lbm]		0.058						± 0.02		
Life		Deployments [-] Storage (Stow ed or Deployed) [year]		_				3				
		ororage (orow ed or Deproyed) [year]						,				L

Table 3-1: Parameters

4. ALB Description

4.1 Part Marking

Each ALB is marked with its assembly number and serial number in the vicinity of the DE-9 connector on the Upper Ring. The Upper and Lower Rings are matched pairs, the serial number shall match.

4.2 Tolerance on Dimensions

Precision	Tolerance [unit]
X.XXX	± 0.005
X.XX	± 0.010
X.X	± 0.030
x	± 1.000

Table 4-1: PSC-RL distance tolerances

4.3 Mechanical Description and Interface

The coordinate system for the ALB is shown below. The + X_{LB} axis originates from the Lower Ring bottom plane centered at the bolt pattern and points towards the Upper Ring. The + Y_{LB} axis passes through the center plane of the Stow Screw Hole (and DE-9 connector). Unless otherwise noted, all axes in this document refer to the ALB coordinate system and all dimensions are given in inches.




Figure 4-1: Stowed ALB








Figure 4-2: Separated ALB




Figure 4-3: Origin, Deployed and Stowed Dimensions

4.4 Electrical Interface





Figure 4-4: Schematic

A simple embodiment is one Separation Switch on the Upper Ring to enable the satellite when it separates. Not using a (second) Separation Switch on the Lower Ring is only advisable if the launch service will have a video record of separation or another non-contact verification of separation. Verification of separation is a critical means to help initiate a mission — managers know the satellite separated from the launch vehicle and is trying to establish communication with controllers. 22 American Wire Gage (AWG) wire is sufficient in most cases to and through the ALB.



Access for externally accessed features include the Stow Screw, the DE-9 connector to the initiator, the wire exits from the Separation Switch and the Separation Connector and Spring Locks should be considered when joining the spacecraft to the launch vehicle and shipping container. Solar panels, rocket nozzles and other appendages may block some access in some parts of vehicular operations. See Table 3-1 for stay-out zone dimensions.

4.6 Materials and Surface Treatments

All of the materials are low outgassing as defined by ASTM-E-595: total mass loss (TML) is less than 1.0% and a collected volatile condensable materials (CVCM) is less than 0.1%. About ninety percent of the mass is Aluminum. There is no tungsten, zinc, tin, cadmium, or nylon. All of the materials and surface treatments have flight heritage. The parts (motors, switches, connector pins, DE-9, fasteners) have flight heritage. The surfaces of the ALB are conductive. The ALB is not sensitive to radiation. The ALB does not possess any integrated circuits or semi-conductors. There are no diodes, capacitors or resistors. The ALB has no static-sensitive parts.

All parts in the primary load path are not affected by hydrazine, however the Springs, Separation Connectors, Separation Switches, Motor and Wiring Harness are all not compatible with hydrazine and may be detrimentally damaged through exposure.

Part	Material	Surface treatment	
Lower Ring	Aluminum 7000 series	Chemical Film per MIL-DTL-5541, Class 3, Color Gold	
Upper Ring, Retaining Ring and Leaf Pin	Aluminum 7000 series	Electroless Nickel per ASTM B733-15, Type IV, 5 to 9% P SC N/A Class 4	
Leaf	Aluminum 6000 or 7000 series	Electroless Nickel per ASTM B733-15, Type IV, 5 to 9% P SC N/A Class 4	
Fasteners	A-286	Passivation	
Lubricant	Braycote 601 EF w/ Molybdenum Disulfide	-	
Motor	Copper, Neodymium, CRES	Various	
Initiator	A286, 303, Nitronic 60, Titanium (0.02 lb.),	Passivation	
Springs	301, 302, 304 or music wire, Delrin (Acetal) and Aluminum	Passivation, Chemical Film per MIL-DTL-5541, Class 3, Color Gold	
Separation Connectors	Gold, Vespel, Aluminum 6000 series, CRES, copper	Electroless Nickel per ASTM B733-15, Type IV, 5 to 9% P SC N/A Class 4	
Separation Switches and their brackets	Aluminum, epoxy, copper	Chemical Film per MIL-DTL-5541, Class 3, Color Gold (switch bracket)	
Wiring Harness and DE-9 connector	Copper, Silver, Gold, ETFE, Kynar, Solder (IPC J-STD-006), DAP, CRES	-	

Table 4-2: Materials and Surface Treatments

4.7 Stiffness

Stiffness is a major design driver when determining which ALB size is required for a payload. Payload stack stiffness increases with the cube of the ALB diameter (D³). For example, a 15-inch diameter ALB is about 6.6 times stiffer than an 8-inch diameter ALB but weighs less than twice as much. Additionally, the first lateral mode frequency of the payload stack increases with the 3/2 power of ALB diameter (D^{1.5}). Often, customers select the smallest allowable ALB with the intent of saving mass. However, this can increase risk of mission failure due to unintended stack dynamics. Prudent customers often use a larger ALB than required to gain stiffness margin. The small increase in ALB mass is more than offset by the need for a less stiff (i.e., massive) space vehicle structure. Stiffness values are shown in Table 3-1. Higher fidelity stiffness estimations of the ALB can be determined via FEM.




Figure 4-6: Larger diameter ALBs are stiffer and stronger than smaller diameters

4.8 Stiffness of Adjoining Structures

In order to maximize the stiffness of the satellite stack including the ALB, engineers should design robust features in the structures adjoining the ALB. As the analysis in Table 4-3 shows, thick flanges, small moment arms, and chamfers (or large radii) create much stiffer and lighter structures.




Table 4-3: Features of adjoining structure¹

The stiffness of flanges is important relative to overall stack stiffness. If the flange stiffness is too low, the first mode lateral frequency of the entire stack can decrease detrimentally. For proper operation of the ALB, the flanges should be stiff enough to guarantee the preload of the ALB will not excessively warp the adjoining structure and vice-versa.

The stiffness increase associated with straight load-paths is illustrated below. A straight load path can double the stiffness and increase lateral frequencies by 40%.

Fasteners must be installed at every location in order to integrate the ALB. Do not skip a bolt as this will substantially decrease strength and stiffness of the ALB.

The thermal extremes of the bolted joint often drive the selection of fasteners. Users anticipating temperatures beyond +10 to +50°C should examine the preload changes associated with coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) mismatch. In the past, missions on the Space Shuttle have driven bolted joint design to extremes because joints are expected to survive landing loads at very low temperature (-40°C). NASA-STD-5020 document outlines a thorough bolted joint analysis.

Stiffness is affected by bolted joints. A well designed bolted joint leads to greater stiffness and is less susceptible to slipping or gapping.

¹ The lower cylinder represents a Lightband. The upper cylinder with flange represents an adjoining structure. The applied load is 1,000 lb. The materials are aluminum.




Figure 4-7: Straight load-paths from adjoining structures substantially increase stiffness




Figure 4-8: Structures with stiffest flange design. Moment arms in the flange are minimal, maximizing stiffness and strength

As noted in Table 3-1, there are two sets of required flatness for adjoining structure values. Though somewhat subjective, if the adjoining structure is relatively stiff, the required flatness will be tighter than if the adjoining structure is relatively flexible. A relatively flexible structure will conform to the flat interface better than a relatively stiff one.

The type of adjoining structure can also have an effect on operation and integration of the ALB. Users should be aware of the effects of their choice of adjoining structure before integration and adequately plan for any likely issues. See following table.

		Lightband Adjoined to		
	Stiff Weldment, Ring, or Plate	Transition Rings	Isolation System	
Typically Characterized As (See Table 2-1)	Stiff	Flexible	Flexible	
Most Similar Type of Flight Adjoining Structure	Adapter plate or base plate	Adapter cone or ring	Isolation system	
Flatness	Often difficult to manufacture within required flatness tolerances.	Typically meets flatness requirement.	Reduces flatness requirement.	
Lightband Flexure	Often too stiff, does not allow Lightband to flex enough during operations.	Allows Lightband to flex nominally and maintains required stiffness during operation.	Provides best chance for successful Lightband integration and operation.	
Shimming	Difficult to meet flatness requirements via shimming.	Less difficult to meet flatness requirements via shimming.	Not necessary.	
Relative Cost to Manufacture/Procure	Low	Medium	High	
Relative Cost to Ensure Manufactured Flatness	High	Medium	N/A	
Side View	A define from form , tree , if we take the first	r al an ann ann a ma l an an an an an		
Isometric View				

Table 4-4: Comparison of ALB adjoining structures

4.9 Line Load Limits

Line loading in the X_{LB} axis arises from loads in the X_{LB} direction and moments about the Y_{LB} or Z_{LB} axis. Generally, the moments about Y_{LB} and Z_{LB} generate higher line loading than axial loads. In other words, lateral load cases are typically the limiting factor in strength margin.




Figure 4-9: Line loading forces

Each Leaf corresponds to thru holes for fastening to the adjoining structures. The thru-holes are sized for 0.25-inch socket head cap (SHC) screws. PSC-RL analysis and tests have shown that the as-designed fastener hole size and spacing is optimum for ALB operation. All testing at PSC-RL is performed with 0.25-inch fasteners because PSC-RL test cells have 0.25-28 UNF accepting threads.

Axial line loading arises from axial (X_{LB}) and lateral (Y_{LB} or Z_{LB}) loading and moments about Y_{LB} or Z_{LB} , whereas shear line loading arises from lateral (Y_{LB} or Z_{LB}) loading and moments about Y_{LB} or Z_{LB} , whereas shear line loading arises from lateral (Y_{LB} or Z_{LB}) loading and moments about X_{LB} . In flight, lateral loads tend to make the greatest contribution to line loading. Maximum lateral load and axial load do not occur at the same location on the ALB and standard PSC-RL strength testing reflects this fact. Note: PSC-RL documentation sometimes expresses line loading in terms of force/Leaf instead of force/bolt. ALB's have 1 less Leaf than bolt, but the difference in line load value from this computation method is accounted for in PSC-RL qualification testing. Thus, the terms force/Leaf and force/bolt are interchangeable.

The equations below provide an approximation of the line loading for initial sizing purposes. They will not however account for peaking due to stiffness variation of adjoining structures (e.g. base plate stiffening ribs, access cutouts, walls, etc.). They also do not account for non-uniform load distribution resulting from significant lateral CG offsets. Customers should incorporate the ALB finite element model in their flight stack to determine the actual load distribution around the ALB. This will expose peaking and inform any derating. Naturally customers should avoid structural discontinuities to retain high line load margin.

Magnitude of maximum axial line load is given by Equation (1). Direction of maximum axial line load is the same as F_x.

$$P_{eq} = \frac{|F_X|}{n} + \frac{4|VX|}{nD}$$
(1)

Where:

P_{eq} is maximum axial line loading [force per bolt]

Fx is axial force [force]

n is the number of fasteners in the bolt circle [-] (n is one more than the number of Leaves)

V is lateral force [force]

X is the distance from the ALB origin to the load application point in the x direction (typically the center of mass in X direction) [length]

D is the bolt circle diameter [length]

Magnitude of maximum shear line loading is given by Equation (2):

$$Q = \frac{2}{n} \left(V + \frac{|M_x|}{D} \right)$$
(2)

Where:

- **Q** is the maximum shear line load [force per bolt]
- V is the lateral force [force]

n is the number of fasteners in the bolt circle [-] (n is one more than the number of Leaves)

D is the bolt

circle diameter [length]

 M_x is the maximum applied torsional moment about the X_{LB} axis (typically negligible in-flight loading) [force x length]

The line load operating limits of P_{eq} and Q in Table 3-1 were established by applying loads (Fx and V) to an ALB in qualification strength tests. The computed line loading was reduced to establish the operating limits shown in Table 3-1. In the strength tests, the distribution of load is discontinuous because the Stow Screw occupies the space of one Leaf. Therefore, the Leaves adjacent to the Stow Screw will carry a higher percentage of load. Thus, in test, the actual line loading was higher. This peaking is naturally accounted for with equations 1 and 2.

Typically, customers launch spacecraft with a maximum line loading that is half of the operating limit because they are typically attempting to maximize stiffness (which tends to produce lower line loading).




Figure 4-10: A round separation system and a square satellite can create high line loading

4.10 Fatigue Limits & Mass-Loaded Vibration

Fatigue failure is generally defined as failure due to cyclic loading. Fatigue failure is typically manifested in a flight stack as a loss of preload in fasteners, a breakdown of surface treatments at separable interfaces, or cracking of materials. Fatigue can be induced by static, dynamic and thermal environmental loads. Loading can be locally amplified when dissimilar structures (ex. round to square) are joined to the ALB. The ALB's load limits are based on quasi-static strength testing.

When derating the ALB's maximum load capability from Table 3-1 consider all loading events including mass loaded random vibration, sine sweep, sine burst and shock testing as well as flight environments. The customer shall use an equivalent fatigue damage approach to properly account for the combined effects of all loading. See section 7.8 for qualification fatigue testing performed on the ALB. The line loads shown are axial.

Mass-loaded vibration will generate cyclic loading on the ALB dependent on the adjoining structure and payload stiffness and damping. This will often result in loads far greater than those calculated in Section 4.9 using quasi-static load factors. Flight ALBs should not be used for mass-loaded vibration testing. If mass-loaded vibration testing is required by the launch provider a waiver should be requested given the ALB's qualification or an EDU ALB shall be used. Further, all test and flight environments shall be accurately predicted using a finite element model of the ALB and payload. During testing, the test results shall be continually compared to analytical predictions for sufficient agreement.

4.11 Flatness and Parallelism

Prior to joining, the surfaces adjoining the ALB should be flat to the specification defined in Table 3-1.



Figure 4-11: An MLB attached to a launch vehicle cone and CAD model showing resulting stress peaking that occurs when adjoining two warped surfaces

When the adjoining vehicles are extremely warped or surfaces are not parallel, an attempt to join the ALB to both adjoining structures may break or damage the ALB. Joining an ALB to only one adjoining structure will generally not increase stress because separation systems are designed to be more flexible than adjoining structures.

It may be tempting to design flexible features to attenuate stress exhibited in the warped structures that are joined. However, this can lead to an unacceptably low stiffness and first mode frequency of the entire system. To achieve both a low stress and high stiffness system, flatness of the adjoining structures must be controlled.

Isolation systems like Moog CSA Engineering's SoftRide intentionally add flexibility to joints to attenuate response. Furthermore, isolation systems offer an additional benefit in the substantial relaxation of adjoining structure flatness requirements.

Finite element models (FEMs) nominally assume perfect flatness of adjoining structures. Therefore, FEMs can obscure this potentially significant reduction in structural margin.




Figure 4-12: FEM simulates a clamp band separation system via radially inward preload from band tension. Warping can result




Figure 4-13: A deflection of 0.004 inches at the interface to adjoining structures is created by preload

ALBs and clamp bands embody the challenging nature of mechanical assembly; not only do they warp in proportion to preload, but a warp applied to them can affect their preload. Critically, as many mechanisms engineers have observed in test, the structural performance (strength and stiffness) is highly correlated to preload. PSC-RL engineers often observe changes in internal strain as structures are joined to the ALB. A 20% change in preload as the separation system is fastened to an adjoining structure has been observed.

Easily fabricated structures adjoining separation systems may be expensive to make flat. Alternatively, structures that may be expensive to fabricate can be easy to make flat. For example, a thrust cone that interfaces the final stage engine to the launch vehicle can be easily made by riveting machined rings to conical sheets. The riveting process can stress the thrust cone. This may manifest itself as warping (a lack of flatness) when the riveted structure is removed from its much more rigid tooling. To attain flatness requirements, the riveted structure must be machined or shimmed at additional cost. As a more expensive option, the thrust cone could be directly machined from a conical forging ensuring flatness requirements are met.

Engineers should consider the fact that all manufacturing and joining processes (riveting for assembly, fastening to adjoining structures, curing of composites) increase strain energy and thus will warp structures.

4.12 SoftRide and ALB

The SoftRide Isolation System is a spacecraft vibration and shock isolation system designed to reduce launch vehicle-induced loading on the spacecraft. SoftRide is a patented product of Moog CSA Engineering (www.csaengineering.com). It has been flown successfully many times.




Table 4-5: Valuable payloads are isolated from detrimental external loading using spring-damper (isolation) systems

SoftRide Systems have several benefits when used in conjunction with the ALB:

- 1. Substantially reduce flight loads into the payload such as engine transients, random vibration, and shock.
- 2. Substantially reduce risk by isolating the payload from unanticipated launch load events.
- 3. Substantially increase damping. SoftRide damping ratio range is 3% to 25% depending on the needs of the mission.
- 4. Reduce stiffness requirements of the space vehicle because there is less value to a very stiff bus if it is sitting on a very flexible isolation system.
- 5. Reduce flatness requirements of adjoining vehicles because the isolation system is flexible.
- 6. Ease integration of the ALB by eliminating the need to stow the ALB to join the satellite to the launch vehicle. With the isolation system attached to the already stowed ALB, integration can occur by simply fastening the launch vehicle to the isolation system.



Figure 4-14: Moog CSA's SoftRide OmniFlex which isolates the satellite from the launch vehicle loads

Isolation systems add mass that is usually small compared to the spacecraft mass. In fact, the mass added by SoftRide is often nullified because the ALB has a lower mass than other separation systems. Isolation systems require a displacement stroke to attenuate dynamic loads.

4.13 Lifecycle

An ALB cycle is defined as one stow and one separation. Refer to Table 3-1 for max number of cycles (Noted as Life, Deployments). If cycles equal or exceed the allowable, the unit must be returned to PSC-RL for inspection and possible refurbishment. Contact PSC-RL for pricing and schedule.

4.14 Rotation Rates

Rotation rates are induced by the distance between the payload's center of mass (CM) and the center of the ALB's spring force. Rotation rates may be about any axis of a space vehicle as a result of the separation event.

Rotation rates can be estimated via Equation (3). There are many variables that contribute to this rate and several simplifying assumptions have been made to compensate. Equation (3) assumes the adjoining vehicle is many times more massive (>10X) and has many times more inertia (>10x) than the separating vehicle. It also assumes the pre-separation rates are all zero. Only Separation Reliability testing can produce verifiable values for rotation rates.




Figure 4-15: CM offset and rotation rate

$$w = \frac{mvd}{I}$$
(3)

Where:

w is the payload rotation rate [rad/s]

 \boldsymbol{m} is the mass of the payload [mass]

v is the relative velocity [length/s]

d is the distance between the CM and the resultant location of the Separation Springs [length]

I is the mass moment of inertia about the center of mass of the separating vehicle [mass length²]




Figure 4-16: An illustration of Equation 4

The Separation Spring configuration may be adjusted on the ALB so the Springs, as a sum, act through the CM. Table 3-1 provides all the information to complete dynamic analysis of the separation event. Though typically trivial, even the effect of the spring in the Separation Switches and Separation Connectors may be incorporated into dynamic models.

Modern CAD models of spacecraft inform designers of the CM location allowing them to control it to within thousandths of an inch prior to manufacture. Testing has confidently shown that when $d = 0.0 \pm .01$ in the expected tip-off will be within 2 degrees per second.

Rotation rates can be beneficial. It tends to average out the temperatures on the spacecraft, and if a camera attached to the launch service is looking at the spacecraft while it is rotating after separation, mission managers can verify configuration in spaceflight. For example, are the stowed solar arrays intact?

4.15 Separation Velocity and Separation Springs

Equation (4) is used to calculate the required total separating energy, **E**, given a desired velocity between the payload and final stage.

$$E = \frac{(mM)v^2}{2(m+M)}$$
(4)

Equation (5) is used to calculate the estimated number of Separation Springs, **S**, required given a desired velocity between the payload and the final stage.

$$S = \frac{mM}{m+M} \times \frac{v^2}{2e}$$
(5)

Equation (6) is used to calculate relative velocity, v, between payload and final stage given a known total stored energy.

$$v = \sqrt{\left(\frac{2E(m+M)}{mM}\right)}$$
(6)

Where:

m is the payload mass [kg] (includes mass of ALB Upper Ring)

M is the final stage mass [kg] (includes mass of ALB Lower Ring, excludes payload mass)

v is the relative velocity between **m** and **M** [m/s] (ΔV or separating velocity)

S is the number of Separation Springs [-] (even qty. preferred)

e = 2.45 J is the stored potential energy of a single Separation Spring that is converted to kinetic energy manifested as v. It includes efficiency losses. E = S e is the total ALB separating energy manifested as v [J] (The stored potential energy of all Separation Springs that is converted to kinetic energy. It includes efficiency losses. See Table 3-1 for typical ranges for each ALB size.)

Example 1: velocity is known, total separating energy is desired

Payload mass, m = 200 kg Final stage mass, M = 3000 kg Desired relative velocity, v = 0.356 m/s

Total Separating Energy,
$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{(200 \text{ kg} \times 3000 \text{ kg}) \times (0.356 \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}})^2}{2 \times (200 \text{ kg} + 3000 \text{ kg})} = 11.9 \text{ J}$$

Example 2: total separating energy is known, required number of Separation Springs is desired

Total separating energy, E =11.9 J

Number of Separation Springs,
$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{11.9 J}{2.45 \frac{J}{Spring}} = 4.86 Springs$$

Example 3: Total separating energy is known, relative velocity is desired

Payload mass, m = 200 kg Final stage mass, M = 3000 kg Total separating energy, E =12.25 J

Relative velocity,
$$\mathbf{v} = \sqrt{\left(2 \times 12.25 \text{ J} \times \frac{200 \text{ kg} + 3000 \text{ kg}}{200 \text{ kg} * 3000 \text{ kg}}\right)} = 0.361 \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}}$$

Observe that the quantity and mass of Separation Springs increases with the square of **v**. A small increase in velocity requires a significant increase in Springs. The allowable quantity of Separation Springs varies by ALB diameter.




Figure 4-17: The relative velocity (v) is created by the Separation Springs (S)




Figure 4-18: Spring quantity required increases with the square of velocity (springs are lousy rocket engines)

The location of Separation Springs, Separation Connectors, and Separation Switches need not be symmetric to minimize rotation rates. Sometimes PSC-RL engineers will modify the location (configuration) of Separation Springs to null out rotation rate torques during Separation Reliability tests (this is a custom test).

When several payloads are on the same launch vehicle, engineers can minimize the possibility of re-contact by varying the separation velocity and direction. Angling the payloads so they push through the center of mass reduces rotation rate torques and the possibility of re-contact.

The ALB will work without any Separation Springs—it just won't have any velocity. However, if Separation Connectors or Separation Switches are installed, the ALB will separate with a very small separating velocity due to the small force of the spring plungers.




Figure 4-19: Spacecraft oriented so they reduce the moment arms between center of force and center of mass

5. Vertical and Horizontal Integration to Adjoining Vehicles




Figure 5-1: Typical vertical and horizontal integration methods

The compliance of the entire stack needs to be assessed to properly integrate the ALB. When the ALB is stowed as part of the integration process, the entire system will be structurally indeterminate. If the space vehicle and Upper Ring are too far from the Lower Ring or improperly aligned, the ALB will have to pull the space vehicle down and vice versa. To minimize this effect, a compliance spring and/or a more precise control of space vehicle position in all six degrees of freedom is necessary.



Figure 5-2: Horizontal and vertical integration

Flatness of the adjoining surfaces should be within the flatness requirement. See Table 3-1. If flatness requirements are not met by the structure, shims (epoxy or metal) can be used to attain the required flatness.

Flexible adjoining structures may distort while lifting to mate the structures. Simple static analysis can expose any detrimental distortion due to lifting hard-points and weight.

6. Reliability, Failure Modes and Anomaly Reporting

ALB reliability will be maximized by strictly adhering to the procedures in this document. Over a thousand separation tests on more than 20 ALBs before, during, and after critical development and qualification tests (thermal-vacuum, shock, vibration, strength, separation reliability, etc.) over several years show reliability and confidence.

6.1 Failure Modes, Effects and Preventative Actions

Failure Mode	Effect(s)	Preventative Action
Operator fails to remove Stow Screw prior to initiation	Failure to separate	Follow procedures
Operator fails to remove Spring Locks prior to initiation	Lower separation velocity and/or higher rotation rates	Follow procedures
Initiator voltage or current is too high or too low; too short or too long	Failure to initiate or damage to initiator	Follow procedures
Subsystems loosen (Switch, Connector, Spring)	Decreased performance, debris	Verify and record torque at all fasteners joining subsystems
Cross-threading screw	Screw is seized, proper assembly is prevented	Verify all screws and their accepting threads are within specification and free running
Flatness of interfacing boundaries are out of tolerance.	System will not stow or will be difficult to stow.	Inspect boundary interfaces
FOD in DE-9 connector	Increased electrical resistance	Inspect and clean pins and sockets prior to mate
Operator fails to follow stow procedure, exceeds max torque or max turns	ALB detrimentally damaged, requires PSC- RL rework	Procedures, checklists, PSC-RL provided training
Loopbacks in Separation connector are too long to allow proper seating of Matrix	Pins are damaged	Verify Matrix seats properly before integration to ALB
Stow screw torque is exceeded or operator turns past 'Ping'	ALB won't deploy	Adhere to operating procedures, checklists and training

Table6-1: Failure Modes, Effects and Preventative Actions

7. Testing

PSC-RL completes acceptance testing on standard flight ALBs prior to delivery. EDU ALBs only receive build verification tests. PSC-RL's testing of the ALB does not include the customer's wiring harness. Typically, Transition Rings are fastened to the ALB during testing to mimic flight-like structural, thermal, and dynamic boundary conditions. There is no fixed sequence for acceptance tests. PSC-RL writes, executes, and approves all test plans. PSC-RL also takes any corrective action if anomalies arise. PSC-RL may employ a lot testing strategy, at PSC-RL discretion (i.e. all units within one lot may not receive all testing).

Special (Custom) testing is not included and adds cost and schedule.

Test	Standard or Special?			
Build Verification (pre-BCR)	Standard			
Random Vibration Test	Standard			
Thermal Vacuum Test	Standard			
Separation Reliability Test	Special (Custom)			
Strength Test	Special (Custom)			
Shock Test	Special (Custom)			

Table 7-1: Standard vs Custom test summary

7.1 Test Summary

These values may be exceeded at PSC-RL's discretion.

Test	Parameter	Qualification	Protoflight	Flight (Acceptance)	EDU	
Build	Preload Tuning [-]	proprietary	proprietary	proprietary	proprietary	
Verification	Benchtop Operations [-]	≥7	≥7	≥7	≥7	
Random	Level [grms], ± 1 dB	14.1	14.1	10.0	Not Performed	
Vibration	Duration [s/axis], +10%/-0%	180	60	60	Not Performed	
	Temp [°C], ±3.0 °C	-50 to 110	-29 to 66	-24 to 61		
TVAC	Cycles, min [-]	10	8	4	Not Performed	
	Separations [-]	6	2	1		
	Levels	See Figure 7-4	See Figure 7-4			
Shock	Impacts/axis	3	2	Not Performed	Not Performed	
	Strategy	positive & negative	maxi max			
Separation	Separations, min [-]	100	5	Not Performed	Not Performed	
Strongth	Axial Line Load [lbf/bolt]	2064	Not Performed	Not Performed	Not Performed	
Strength	Shear Line Load [lbf/bolt]	775	Not Performed	Not Performed	Not Fellollieu	
Entique	Axial Line Load [lbf/bolt]	See Figure 7-7	Not Performed	Not Performed	Not Dorformod	
Fatigue	Cycles	See Figure 7-7	Not Ferformed	Not Ferformed	Not Performed	

Table 7-2: Test Summary

7.2 Build Verification

The ALB is tuned to achieve optimal retention and separation characteristics. Throughout build the ALB is stowed and deployed to verify nominal operation. The Springs elongate, and the Separation Switches and Separation Connectors change state. Transition Rings are fastened to the ALB to produce flight like structural boundary conditions. These verifications are completed during the build process prior to Build Complete Review (BCR) and do not have stand-alone test procedures.

Tart	Deremeter	Use				
Test	Parameter	Qualification	Proto-Flight	Acceptance (Flight)	EDU	
Benchtop Separations	Separations [-]	>100	≥7	≥7	≥7	





Figure 7-1: Benchtop Separation Testing

7.3 Component Random Vibration

The ALB is exposed to random vibration in three orthogonal excitation axes to verify workmanship and demonstrate a capability to survive transport or flight vibration.





7.4 Thermal Vacuum

Op

1

3

4

5

Cycle

0.5

1

1.5

2

9.5

10

The ALB is exposed to temperatures and pressures of spaceflight. ALB is initiated and separated in a vacuum at a temperature extreme and initiation voltage extreme.

To at	Devementer	Use				
Test	Parameter	Qualification	Proto-Flight	Acceptance (Flight)	EDU	
	Temperature (±3) [°C]	-50 to +110	-29 to +66	-24 to +61		
Thermal Manuum	Pressure [Torr]		<1.0E-4		Not Performed	
Thermal Vacuum	Cycles [-]	≥10	≥8	≥4	Not Performed	
	Separations [-]	≥6	2	1		



Temp

-50.8

108.6

-38.6

88.3

-41.1

88.2

Voltage

22

34

22

34 34

22

	≥6	2	1					
80	Bake-out			1.0E+3				
70	Dane-out	Cycle 1 Cycle 2	Cycle 3 Cycle 4	- 1.0E+2				
60		ALA	ALAL					
50				- 1.0E+1				
40				1.0E+0				
ວຼີ 30				- 1.0E-1 E				
20 Temperature [C]				- 1.0E-1 E				
0				1.0E-3				
-10			<u>i</u> \	- 1.0E-4				
-20								
-30				Separation 1.0E-5				
-40	0 1 2 3 4 5 0	I I 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	23 24 25 26 27 1.0E-6				
Test Time [hr]								
		or A T01 SN50 Upper Ring	Pressure	equired Pressure				

Figure 7-3: TVAC Testing

7.5 Shock (Custom Test)

The ALB is exposed to simulated flight shock.




Figure 7-4: Shock Testing

7.6 Separation Testing (Custom Test)

The ALB is separated repeatedly to monitor nominal operation. This may be performed as a benchtop test or on the PSC-RL Separation Reliability fixture, a 5 degree of freedom air bearing table. During Separation Reliability, tip-off rates and separation energy are measured and recorded.

Test	Devementer	Use			
	Parameter	Qualification	Proto-Flight	Acceptance (Flight)	EDU
Separation Testing	Operations [-]	100	5	Not Performed	Not Performed




Figure 7-5: Separation Reliability Testing

7.7 Strength (Custom Test)

The ALB is exposed to line loading at the specified limits. Reactions in the ALB may be produced with sine-burst testing or with hydraulic rams. Sineburst testing requires a PSC-RL supplied mass simulator.

Test	Parameter	Use				
	Farailleter	Qualification	Qualification Proto-Flight Acceptan	Acceptance (Flight)	EDU	
Strength (sine burst or static)	Axial Line Load (Peq) [lbf/bolt]	2064	Not Performed	Not Performed	Not Performed	
	Shear line load (Q) [Lbf]	775	Not Penormea	Not Penormed	Not Penormed	






Figure 7-6: Strength Testing


7.8 Fatigue (Custom Test)

The ALB is cyclically loaded. Reaction forces are excited through dynamic loads, reaching a prescribed line load to a required number of cycles. A PSC-RL supplied mass simulator is required.

Test	Devementer		Use			
	Parameter	Qualification	Proto-Flight Acceptance (Flight)	EDU		
Fatigue (sine burst or sine dwell)	Axial Line Load [lbf/bolt]	1188 893 670	Not Performed	Not Performed	Not Performed	
	Cycles [-]	100 800 10000	NUL FENOIMED	Not Fenomed	NOL FEITOIMED	



Load Case	Cycles	Axial Line Load
1	100	1170-1188
2	800	893
3	10000	670





8. Specifying an ALB

8.1 Determine stiffness requirements

The biggest driver in ALB diameter selection should be payload stiffness requirements. From dynamic envelope mission requirements, determine the required axial and lateral stiffness of the payload stack. The minimum ALB diameter can then be selected from Table 3-1. However, it is prudent to choose an ALB diameter larger than necessary to provide additional stiffness margin at less than an equivalent increase in weight. For example, a 15-inch diameter ALB is about 6.6 times stiffer than an 8-inch diameter ALB but weighs less than twice as much.

8.2 Determine strength requirements

From your expected mission loads on the payload, calculate maximum line load. Verify that mission loads required to attain those line loads are less than maximum ALB loads shown in Table 3-1. If not, increase the chosen ALB diameter until allowable line load is achieved. PSC-RL will request mass of payload, center of mass location (in ALB coordinate system), and quasistatic load factors at Kick-off to verify adherence to strength requirements.

8.3 Select ALB Bolt Circle diameter

Choose an appropriate ALB diameter from Table 3-1 based on stiffness and strength.

8.4 Complete virtual fit check and plan logistics

Integrate both the ALB stay-out zone model and a CAD model of the ALB (download from www.planetarysystemscorp.com or contact PSC-RL) with a model of your payload and verify your fit requirements. Pay close attention to all stay-out zones per Table 3-1 as the CAD model may not represent the maximum travel of all components. Remember to include your wiring harness. Also determine how you will fasten and operate the ALB for shipment, testing and final integration procedures. Determine the electrical and mechanical ground support equipment (GSE) needed.

8.5 Separation Switch quantity (SW)

The greater the quantity of Separation Switches, the more complex and heavier the harness. See Table 3-1 to ensure the total quantity of Separation Switches and Separation Connectors does not exceed the maximum allowable.

8.6 Separation Connector quantity (SC)

As with Separation Switches, fewer Separation Connectors allow for a simpler harness. Connectors are specified as pairs, so one Connector consists of both the Lower and Upper halves. See Table 3-1 to ensure the total quantity of Separation Switches and Separation Connectors does not exceed the maximum allowable.

8.7 End Use (FLT or EDU)

Engineering Development Unit (EDU) ALBs receive only a bench-top separation test. They do not receive acceptance testing and shall not be used for flight. As such, EDUs are indelibly marked "**NOT FOR FLIGHT**."

Flight Units (FLT) receive testing per Section 7 prior to shipment. If special testing is required (shock, strength, separation reliability, off-set center of mass separation reliability, etc.) additional schedule and cost is typically incurred.

EDU and FLT ALBs are built using the same materials and processes. Customers often purchase an EDU in addition to a FLT for fit checks and ground testing.

8.8 Separation Springs

Use Equation (5) to specify the number of Separation Springs that creates the desired separating velocity or separating energy. A standard ALB comes with 3 Separation Springs (~7.4 J) or 4 Separation Springs (~9.8 J) depending on the diameter of the Lightband (refer to Table 3-1). Additional Separation Springs can be purchased for customer installation. More than n/4 or less than the standard quantity of Separation Springs is a custom unit.

8.9 Specifying ALB

Use the following convention to specify the ALB: ALBXX-SW-SC-FLT-XX

Required Prefix	Bolt Circle Diameter (rounded down)	Separation Switch Qty.	Separation Connector Qty. [pairs]	End Use (Flight or EDU)	Separation Springs [-]
ALB	XX	SW	SC	FLT	XX

Table 8-1: ALB specification convention

For example, ALB11-1-2-FLT-3 specifies

- ALB Advanced Lightband
- 11 11.732-inch bolt circle diameter
- 1 Separation Switch
- 2 Separation Connector pairs (2 Lower and 2 Upper)
- FLT Be used for space flight and thus receive testing
- 3 Separation Springs, separating kinetic energy of ~7.4 J

9. Purchasing, Deliverables, & Schedule

9.1 Purchasing an ALB

Contact PSC-RL directly to receive the most up-to-date ALB prices. The standard payment schedule is shown below.

Event	Payment [%]
After Kick-off Meeting	35
Build complete review (BCR) completion	45
Shipment	20

Table	9-1:	Standard	ALB	schedule
IUNIC	• • •	otuniaura		Jonicadic

9.2 Standard Delivery Schedule

Standard ALBs are typically delivered in 30 weeks ARO. Expedited delivery is available at an additional cost. Custom or Special testing (e.g., shock, strength, separation reliability, CM off-set separation reliability, etc.) increases schedule and cost.

9.3 ALB Deliverables

The items included in the price of an ALB and delivered to the customer are:

- 1. The ALB(s)
- 2. Certificate(s) of conformance

9.4 ALB STEP Files

STEP files of simplified ALB assemblies are available to prospective users and customers. Users may request a STEP model at www.planetarysystemscorp.com.

Note: ALB STEP models do not show all components or their full extent of travel. Users shall not use simplified ALB STEP models to verify clearance. Instead use the stay-out zone CAD models for clearance verification.

9.5 ALB Finite Element Models

PSC-RL has test-verified finite element models (FEM) of ALBs available for customers. To accurately predict line loading through the ALB, customers should incorporate the FEM into their flight stack model. Contact PSC-RL for further information. FEMs may incur an additional cost. This item is subject to US Export Control regulation.

9.6 Custom Units

ALB is a COTS separation system. Any customization (e.g., testing, configuration, program management, additional customer requirements, accelerated schedule, etc.) will incur additional cost and schedule. Figure 9-1 has a summary checklist to compare standard vs custom ALBs, the custom list is not all-encompassing (there may be additional unlisted items which necessitate a custom unit).

Standard Lightband

- No deviation from current Lightband design
- 3 or 4 Separation Springs depending on
- Lightband size (see Table 3-1)
- Random vibration test
- Thermal vacuum test
- Entire ALB specification is this User Manual

Custom Lightband

- Any tests not in standard regimen (shock, strength, separation reliability, CM off-set separation reliability)
- Any deviation from standard test requirements
- requirements
- Any requirement or contractual obligation not in this User Manual, including status meetings.
- Accelerated schedule
- 0-2 or n/4+ Separation Springs

Figure 9-1: Standard vs. Custom Lightband

9.7 Accessories

Separation Switches, Separation Connectors, and Separation Springs, may be delivered earlier than the ALB. Accessories delivered not attached to a flight ALB will not receive testing. PSC-RL does not install spare accessories for testing as a standard service. Accessories may be installed at any step through build and test. Separation Connectors and Separation Switches may not receive the full suite of testing. All Accessories should be listed as individual line items on the PO/contract. All PSC-RL paperwork will list parts as individual line items.

9.7.1 Separation Connectors

Separation Connectors are sold in pairs (an -Upper and -Lower half). Each half will be listed as an individual line item.

- 4000932-Upper, ALB Separation Connector Assembly Upper
- 4000932-Lower, ALB Separation Connector Assembly Lower

Note: Quantity 2 (per half, 4 per pair), NAS1352N06-7, .138-32 x .438 SHC Screws, are required to attach the ALB Separation Connector to the ALB Upper/Lower Ring. PSC-RL does not sell fasteners and does not include loose fasteners when accessories are not attached to the ALB.

9.7.2 Separation Switches

Two parts are typically ordered for each Separation Switch (if procuring flight spares, only the Switch needs to be procured):

- 4000952 Separation Switch (includes a .375-32 x .625 x .094 thin hex nut) this is the actual switch.
- 4000922 ALB Switch Bracket this bracket is required to attach the switch to the ALB.

Note: Quantity 2, NAS1352N06-7, .138-32 x .438 SHC Screws, are required to attach the ALB Switch Bracket to the ALB Upper/Lower Ring. PSC-RL does not sell fasteners and does not include loose fasteners when accessories are not attached to the ALB.

9.7.3 Separation Springs

Three parts make up a single Separation Spring

- 4000920 ALB Spring Stop
- 4000921 ALB Spring Guide
- Separation Spring, 1.06 x .79 x 53.2 Compression Spring

Note: Quantity 1, NAS1352N3-10, .190-32 x .625 SHC Screw, is required to attach spring parts to an ALB Lower Ring. PSC-RL does not sell fasteners and does not include loose fasteners when accessories are not attached to the ALB.

To stow the Spring an ALB Spring Lock is required. See Section 12.

10. Manufacturing Process

Employees at PSC-RL design, assemble, and test separation systems. PSC-RL is an AS 9100-compliant organization. All of the machining and fabrication is completed by vendors qualified to PSC-RL's standards. PSC-RL maintains documentation of all tasks associated with flight hardware procurement, inspection, storage, assembly, test, and shipment. All of these are enveloped by PSC-RL's quality management program. Procuring, manufacturing, and stocking are controlled by inventory management software. ALBs and their subsystems are tracked and completely traceable using their purchase order, serial number, or lot number. Just like in testing at PSC-RL, manufacturing is done in teams. Two employees typically sign-off on steps in manufacturing procedures (one acts as the technician, the other as quality assurance) and execute a Build Complete Review (BCR) as the final step in the completion of the manufacturing procedures. PSC-RL writes, executes and approves manufacturing procedures. PSC-RL also takes any corrective action if anomalies arise. The customer-furnished wiring harness is not included in the manufacturing of an ALB.

11. ALB Testing and Procedures Performed by Customer

Customers often complete some of these tests and procedures after receiving the ALB.

Test or procedure	Objective	Remarks and cautions
ALB training	Learn how to operate ALB and uncover unexpected potential integration difficulties	Verify operators are trained to operate the ALB
Fit check to adjoining structures	Verify bolt patterns and clocking	Is the electrical wiring harness attached during this procedure?
System-level vibration test	Verify workmanship and modes	Will the ALB be overloaded at resonance? Are notching or force limiting methods employed? EDU ALBs are great for this test.
Electrical initiation test	Verify the initiation circuit and power system from the launch vehicle will initiate the ALB within specification. Verify adjoining vehicles will receive the proper signal upon separation.	Ensure ALB operation procedures are being followed.

Table 111-1: Testing and other procedures



Figure 111-1: Electro-mechanical fit check and a separation test

12. Ground Support Equipment (GSE)

For program planning, several pieces of GSE are listed below that have been useful to customers in the past.

Item	Description	Graphic
Transition Ring NOT SUPPLIED NOR SOLD BY PSC-RL	Fastens to the Upper or Lower Ring. Useful to attenuate flatness issues of adjoining structures, allow access to fasteners, simulate stiffness of adjoining structure	
Vibration Adapter Plate NOT SUPPLIED NOR SOLD BY PSC-RL	The interface between an electro-dynamic exciter and the ALB or a Transition Ring.	
Oscilloscope, probes, power supply, 1.0Ω (10W) and 8.0 Ω (150W) resistors, 2x DE-9 breakout cables and various banana jack cables NOT SUPPLIED NOR SOLD BY PSC-RL	Used to deploy, initiate, and record V and I	
Stow Screw ONE SUPPLIED WITH EACH ALB	Used to Stow ALB	
Spring Lock ONE SUPPLIED WITH EACH SPRING	Used to hold Separation Spring in stowed state	and the second s

Item	Description	Graphic
Crane Compliance Sling NOT SUPPLIED NOR SOLD BY PSC-RL	Allows for compliance when mating adjoining vehicles with the ALB	
Turned-down flat head screws NOT SUPPLIED NOR SOLD BY PSC-RL	Align an Upper or Lower ring to an adjoining structure	
1.0 inch long, #2- 56 screw Typically SUPPLIED BY PSC-RL	Aids in Separation Connector assembly	

Table 122-1: Ground support equipment

13. Warranty and Glossary

13.1 Warranty

The ALB warranty is defined in Planetary Systems Corporation Commercial Terms and Conditions of Sale (Doc. 1001112)

13.2 Glossary

- ALB: Advanced Lightband
- ARO: After receiving order
- Bench-top testing: A separation test of the ALB on a bench top. Rate and velocity information are not recovered.
- Build Complete Review (BCR): Verify product assembly is complete (and hence ready for test). This includes bench-top separation.
- CAD: Computer Aided Design
- CCW: Counterclockwise
- **CM**: Center of mass (also known by center of gravity, CG)
- CRES: Corrosion Resistant Steel
- CTE: Coefficient of thermal expansion
- CVCM: Collected volatile condensable material
- CW: Clockwise
- Engineering development unit (EDU): An ALB designated for use on the ground to allow engineers to use flight like hardware. EDU are not exposed to testing, they only receive several bench-top separation tests prior to delivery
- **EMF**: Electromotive Force
- FEA: Finite element analysis
- Flight Unit: An ALB designated for use as a hardware that will fly into space. Flight units are exposed to acceptance testing prior to delivery
- **FMEA**: Failure modes and effects analysis
- FOD: Foreign object debris, any article or substance, alien to a space vehicle or system, which could potentially cause damage
- **GSE:** Ground support equipment
- IAW: In accordance with
- Inch (in): English unit of distance
- Initiation: The Upper and Lower halves of the ALB unlock
- IPA: Isopropanol (Alcohol)
- LV: Launch vehicle
- MLB: Motorized Lightband (version preceding the ALB)
- MOI: Moment of inertia
- Nominal Operation: Separation of the ALB at 23 ±10°C, initiated within limits of Table 3-1
- **Payload**: Spacecraft or space vehicle (used interchangeably)
- **Pound (Ib)**: English unit of weight
- Quality Management System (QMS): Policies, processes and procedures required for planning and executing business
- Separation: The Upper and Lower halves of the ALB unlock, and the Separation Springs elongate to end of travel
- SHC: Socket Head Cap Screw
- Spacecraft or Space vehicle: payload (used interchangeably)
- SRS: Shock response spectrum
- Standard for the Exchange of Product model data (STEP): A file format used to share CAD files governed by ISO10303-21
- Stow: To mechanically join the Upper and Lower halves of the ALB
- TML: Total mass loss
- Transition Ring: custom aluminum interface rings attached to the ALB. Used to emulate flight boundary conditions and ease bolt attachment.
- WRT: With respect to

14. Appendix A: ALB Accessories




Figure 14-1: ALB Accessories

14.1.1 ALB Separation Connector

PSC-RL has developed a 30 pin Separation Connector for use with the ALB. This connector has been spaceflight qualified alongside the ALB and has flight heritage.

Separation Connectors pairs have two parts: a -Upper and -Lower half.

- 4000932-Upper, ALB Separation Connector Assembly Upper
- 4000932-Lower, ALB Separation Connector Assembly Lower

Note: Quantity 2 (per half, 4 per pair), NAS1352N06-7, .138-32 x .438 SHC Screws, are required to attach the ALB Separation Connector to the ALB Upper/Lower Ring. PSC-RL does not sell fasteners.

See Figure 14-2 for overview. See Table 3-1 for parameters, Table 14-1 lists a subset specific to the Separation Connector. See PSL-RL document 3000355 ALB Operating Procedure for attachment. See Section 14.1.4 for wiring details. See Section 9.7.1 for purchasing.




Figure 14-2: ALB Separation Connector Overview

	Energy per separation connector [J]	Kec	0.001	-
	Spring Constant [lbf/in]	Kc	38	-
	Force per connector, stow ed [lbf]	Fsc	5.1	-
	Force per connector, deployed [lbf]	Fdc	1.9	-
	Stroke [in]	-	0.110	-
Separation	Current, each pin, steady state, vacuum [A]	lscv	3.00	-
Connector	Current, each pin, steady state, STP [A]	lsca	5.00	-
	Pulsed current through pin [A]	lpv	5.00	-
	Mated pin-pair contact resistance [Ohm]	Rsc	0.04	± 0.02
-	Mated pair mass + fasteners [lbm]	-	0.099	± 0.02
	Pin Solder Cup Internal Diameter [inch]	Pid	0.05	-
	Pin Solder Cup Internal Depth [inch]	Pdepth	0.100	-

Table 14-1: ALB Separation Connector Parameters

14.1.2 ALB Separation Switch

PSC-RL has developed a Separation Switch for use with the ALB. This switch has been spaceflight qualified alongside the ALB and has flight heritage.

Two parts make up a Separation Switch:

- 4000952 Separation Switch (includes a .375-32 x .625 x .094 thin hex nut) this is the actual switch.
- 4000922 ALB Switch Bracket this bracket is required to attach the switch to the ALB.

Note: Quantity 2, NAS1352N06-7, .138-32 x .438 SHC Screws, are required to attach the ALB Switch Bracket to the ALB Upper/Lower Ring. PSC-RL does not sell fasteners.

See Figure 14-3 for overview. See Table 3-1 for parameters, Table 14-2 lists a subset specific to the Separation Switch. See PSL-RL document 3000355 ALB Operating Procedure for attachment. See Section 14.1.4 for wiring details. See Section 9.7.2 for purchasing.




Figure 14-3: ALB Separation Switch Overview

	Energy per separation switch [J]	Kes	0.02	-
	Spring Constant [lbf/in]	Ks	14.0	-
	Force per switch, stow ed [lbf]	Fsw	3.7	-
Separation	Force per switch, deployed [lbf]	Fds	0.9	-
Sw itch	Stroke [in]	dsw	0.21	± 0.05
	Current, steady state, vacuum [A]	lscv	5.0	-
	Contact resistance [Ohm]	Rsw	<0.025	-
	Mass + fasteners [lbm]	-	0.058	± 0.02

Table 14-2: ALB Separation Switch Parameters

14.1.3 Separation Springs

PSC-RL has developed Separation Springs for use with the ALB. These springs have been spaceflight qualified alongside the ALB and have flight heritage.

Three parts make up a single Separation Spring

- 4000920 ALB Spring Stop
- 4000921 ALB Spring Guide
- Separation Spring, 1.06 x .79 x 53.2 Compression Spring

Note: Quantity 1, NAS1352N3-10, .190-32 x .625 SHC Screw, is required to attach spring parts to an ALB Lower Ring. PSC-RL does not sell fasteners.

To stow the Spring an ALB Spring Lock is required. See Section 12.

See Table 3-1 for parameters,

Table 14-3 lists a subset specific to the Separation Springs. See PSL-RL document 3000355 ALB Operating Procedure for attachment. See Section 9.7.3 for purchasing.

Separation Springs	Energy per spring [J]	е	2.45	-
	Spring Constant [lbf/in]	Ks	5.85	-
	Force per spring, stow ed [lbf]	Fss	19.9	-
	Force per spring, deployed [lbf]	Fsd	8.8	-
	Stroke [in]	deltas	1.890	± 0.025
	Weight Supported per Spring when stow ing [lb]	Fws	50.0	max
	Spring assembly + fastener [lbm]	-	0.087	-

Table 14-3: ALB Separation Spring Parameters

14.1.4 Wiring Separation Switch and Separation Connector

In the beginning of programs, engineers and program managers often underestimate the cost, mass, and size of wiring harnesses. This is due in part to the difficulty of modeling a harness using CAD software. Harnesses sometimes cost and weigh more than the ALB. Additionally, poorly designed harnesses can obstruct access to the ALB fasteners. If the net shape of the harness is not predetermined, it may not fit and will require extensive re-work. As such it is <u>absolutely essential</u> to complete a detailed CAD model of the wiring harness. PSC-RL does not supply harnesses from the ALB or through the ALB. PSC-RL recommends the simplest possible harness design using the smallest quantity of Separation Connectors and Separation Switches.

General Guidance

- 1) Practice this procedure. At PSC-RL all hand soldering is completed IAW NASA-STD 8739.3 with Change 5 or IPC J-STD-001FS (or more recent). All soldering of flight hardware is performed by certified personnel.
- 2) For all soldering, use soldering flux and solder per the most recent revision of IPC-STD-001 Space Applications Addendum.
- 3) Clean flux with 99% pure Isopropanol (IPA).
- 4) Set solder temperature to 315 C, do not exceed three (3) seconds of heating.




Figure 14-4: Wiring of Separation Switch (MLB switch bracket shown)

Join the Separation Switch to a Switch Bracket on the Upper or Lower Ring using the supplied .38-32 thin hex nut. Or alternatively, keep the Switch Bracket attached to the Switch throughout the wiring procedure, and then use NAS1352N06-7, .138-32 x .438 SHC Screws to attach to the Upper or Lower Ring.

Nothing shall extend below the interface of the Switch Bracket.

Potting of the Separation Switch is not recommended because it is difficult to control where the potting material may migrate to prior to curing. PSC-RL does not have a recommended potting material and process. PSC-RL qualified the Switch without the use of additional potting. If potting is used ensure nothing extends past the Switch body or below the Bracket's interface.



Figure 144-5: Wiring of Separation Connector

Join the Separation Connectors to Upper or Lower Rings. Upper Connector to Upper Ring, Lower Connector to Lower Ring. Loosely attach with provided fasteners. Separation Connectors need to self-align during the first Stow. The fasteners will be torqued during the Stow Procedure.

Potting of the Separation Connector is not recommended because it is difficult to control where the potting material may migrate to prior to curing. Potting may inadvertently be applied to conductors or housing. Similarly, loopbacks may be too long inside the housing, preventing proper seating of Matrix. PSC-RL does not have a recommended potting material and process. PSC-RL qualified the Separation Connector without the use of potting. If potting is used, ensure that the matrix fully seats against housing hard stop. When fully installed the matrix should float within the housing, the .086-56 screw only threads into the housing and retains the matrix from falling out. The two matrices shall be free to self-align within the connector housing. All pins on both Connector halves shall be visibly below the top plane of the Housing.

Ensure that any loop backs inside the housing have sufficient clearance. Nominal dimensions can be determined from supplied STEP models.

The unused features to fasten the Separation Switch or Separation Connector in the Upper Ring and Lower Ring may be used to secure a section of wiring harness. Beware of any possibility that the wiring or harness may move and inhibit ALB operation.




Figure 14-6: Loopbacks too long did not allow for matrix to seat, BAD




Figure 14-7: The Left Connector shows Matrix properly seated in the Housing, Right Connector is incorrect